

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/050,455	01/16/2002	Robert Desbiens	33263US1	6511
116 7590 01/26/2007 PEARNE & GORDON LLP 1801 EAST 9TH STREET SUITE 1200 CLEVELAND, OH 44114-3108			EXAMINER	
			KENDALL, CHUCK O	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2192	
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		01/26/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Commons	10/050,455	DESBIENS, ROBERT			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Chuck O. Kendall	2192			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status		•			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11/03	3/06.				
<u> </u>					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 16 January 2002 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11.	a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	ate			

Application/Control Number: 10/050,455 Page 2

Art Unit: 2192

Detailed Action

- 1. This is in response to application filed 11/03/06.
- 2. Claims 1 8 have been amended.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kevner USPN 5,956,509 in view of Logston et al. US 2002/0032754.

Regarding claim 1, Kevner discloses a system for incrementally executing a client/server application (4:30-35), leveraging existing communications network infrastructure having at least one client computer and at least one server computer, wherein the at least one client computer and the at least one server computer are in

Art Unit: 2192

communications with each other over one or more communications links within the network infrastructure, the system comprising:

a client/server application comprising a server application component and a client component,

the server application component comprising a plurality of <u>server component</u> <u>portions</u>, and provided on the at least one server computer (7:1-5),

the server component portions including an initial server component server portion and one or more subsequent server component portions, the initial server component portion having an initial function, each of the one or more subsequent server component portions including at least one subsequent functions;

the client <u>application</u> component provided on the at least one client computer, the client component including one or more command selectors, each of the one or more command selectors having (see locator program 314, 9:55 – 65):

associated code for selecting a function available from the plurality of portions of the server component (28: 12 – 17, see select command) portions; and

associated code for generating a parameter for use by the server application component in determining the appropriate <u>server component</u> portion of the plurality of <u>server component portions</u> to load and execute on the server computer to provide the selected function on the client computer (28:1 – 5, see RequestDynamicParam routine also see 13:13 – 21).

Art Unit: 2192

Kevner doesn't expressly disclose wherein portions are incrementally loaded and executed on the server computer in response to the code and parameter from the one or more command selectors for the client/server application and a memory for executing the server application component: means for receiving an initiating means from the client computer, the initiating message including the initial parameter associated with initial server component portion;

means for loading into the memory, in dependence upon the initial parameter the initial server component portion; means for executing the initial server component portion loaded into the memory to provide the initial function to the client computer;

means for receiving a subsequent message from the client computer, the subsequent message including the subsequent parameter associated with a subsequent server component portion; means for loading into the memory, in dependence upon the subsequent parameter, the subsequent server component portion; and mean for executing the subsequent server component portion loaded into the memory to provide the subsequent function to the client computer.

However, Logston in analogous art and similar configuration discloses associated client and server portions which are loaded and executed incrementatlly (see 0078, "next functional component piece") as well as inter communication between server and client portions and to obtain and transmit utilizing a server storage device (Col. 12, Clm 21).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine Kevner and Logston because, it would enable configuring and/or executing the device from a remote location (0017).

Regarding claim 2, the system according to claim 1, wherein one portion of the plurality of server component portions is a compact portion loaded and executed on the at least one server computer upon receipt of a first application function request from the client component the compact portion delivering a streamlined subset of functions applicable to commands most commonly requested to provide a fast executing initial portion of the application (Logston, Col. 12, Clm 21).

Regarding claim 3, which is the method version of claim 1 see rationale above as previously discussed and regarding the at least one server computer comprising a server processor and a memory for executing a server component, executing an applicable additional portion of the plurality of portions of the server application component for each request received from the client component for an application function not available from any running portion or portions of the server component (16:45 – 60) and running all executed portions until an end session command is received see (Logston, Col. 12, Clm 21).

Regarding claim 4, the method according to claim 3, wherein one portion of sever component of the plurality of server component portions is a compact portion executed on the server computer upon receipt of a first application function request from the client component, the compact portion delivering a streamlined subset of functions

Application/Control Number: 10/050,455

Art Unit: 2192

applicable to commands most commonly requested to provide a fast executing initial portion of the application (8:35 – 50).

Regarding claim 5, which recites similarly to claim 3 see rationale as previously discussed above.

Regarding claim 6, which recites similarly to claim 5 see rationale as previously discussed above.

Regarding claim 7, which recites similarly to claim 3 see rationale as previously discussed above.

Regarding claim 8, which recites similarly to claim 4 see rationale as previously discussed above.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 - 8 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

Art Unit: 2192

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Ck.

Chrece kendsell 64/21/07